



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,798	01/31/2006	Edward F. Spellman	ES-101	8974
37053 7590 02/12/2009 D.A. STAUFFER PATENT SERVICES LLC 1006 MONTFORD ROAD CLEVELAND HTS., OH 44121-2016				
EXAMINER WUJCIAK, ALFRED J				
ART UNIT 3632		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 02/12/2009		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/566,798

**Applicant(s)**

SPELLMAN, EDWARD F.

**Examiner**

J. ALLEN SHRIVER II

**Art Unit**

3632

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) J. ALLEN SHRIVER II.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Dwight Stauffer.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,4,6-9,12,13,17-20,22-27 and 42-45.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Supervising Examiner agrees with applicant that the Final rejection was improper in the Final rejection mailed on 10/6/2008. The prior art reference is not capable of being attached to opposing vertical headrest posts. Therefore, the Final rejection will be withdrawn and a Non-final rejection will be mailed out to the applicant.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

J. ALLEN SHRIVER II

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3632